

for the rights of property which is
 characteristic in all
 ages of the *nowveaux riches* The men
 whose religion
 was not money said what they thought of
 the business
 in pamphlets and sermons, which left
 respectable congregations spluttering with fury.

Crowley pilloried lease-mongers and
 usurers, wrote
 that the sick begged in the street because
 rich men
 had seized the endowments of hospitals,
 and did not
 conceal his sympathy with the peasants who
 rose under
 Ket.¹⁵ Becon told the gentry, eloquent
 on the vices
 of abbey-lubbers, that the only
 difference between
 them and the monks was that
 they were more
 greedy and more useless, more harsh in
 wringing the
 last penny from their tenants, more selfish
 in spending
 the whole income on themselves, more
 pitiless to the
 poor.¹⁶ "In suppressing of abbies, cloisters,
 colleges and
 chantries," preached Lever in St. Paul's, "
 the intent
 of the King's Majesty that dead is, was,
 and of this
 our king now is, very godly, and the
 purpose, or else
 the pretence, of other wondrous goodly :
 that thereby
 such abundance of goods as was
 superstitiously spent
 upon vain ceremonies, or voluptuously upon
 idle bellies,
 might come to the king's hands to
 bear his great
 charges, necessarily bestowed in the
 common wealth,
 or partly unto other men's hands, for the
 better relief
 of the poor, the maintenance of learning, and

the setting

forth of God's word.

Howbeit, covetous
officers have
so used this matter, that even those goods
which did
serve to the relief of the poor, the
maintenance of
learning, and to comfortable necessary
hospitality in
the common wealth, be now turned to
maintain worldly,
wicked, covetous ambition. . . . You
which have
gotten these goods into your own hands, to
turn them
from evil to worse, and other goods more
from good
unto evil, be ye sure it is even you that
have offended
God, beguiled the king, robbed the rich,
spoiled the
poor, and brought a common wealth into a
common
misery/¹¹⁷